

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | F       | ILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/534,803 05/13/2005 |         | Kia Silverbrook | MJT012USNP              | 8396                |                  |  |
| 24011                 | 7590    | 09/28/2006      |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| SILVERBI              | ROOK RI | ESEARCH PTY L   | DO, AN H                |                     |                  |  |
| 393 DARLI<br>BALMAIN, |         |                 | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| AUSTRALI              | =       | .041            |                         | 2853                |                  |  |
|                       |         |                 | DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application        | olication No. Applicant(s) |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  |                    | 10/534,803                 | 3                                 | SILVERBROOK ET AL. |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |                    | Examiner                   |                                   | Art Unit           |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |                    | An H. Do                   |                                   | 2853               |        |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commur<br>r Reply   | nication app       | ears on the                | cover sheet with the c            | orrespondence ad   | ldress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>13 Ma</i> | av 2005.                   |                                   | j                  |        |  |  |  |
| ,—   | , , ,  | 2b)⊠ This          |                            | n-final.                          |                    |        |  |  |  |
| ,—   | ' <del>-</del>   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| •  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 5)□  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are rejected.  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 8)   | Claim(s) are subject to restri   | ction and/or       | election re                | quirement.                        |                    |        |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 9)🖾 :  | The specification is objected to by th   | ne Examinei        | r.                         |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 10)🖾   | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 11)  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| · ·  | <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul> |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
|  |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | • •  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  |  |                    |                            |                                   |                    |        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔯 Infor   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/13/05</u> .  |                    |                            | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: |                    |        |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/534,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species A readable on claims 1-59 in the reply filed on 19 July 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the applicant's invention is defined by the claims, not by the drawings. This is found persuasive and hence, the Election/Restrictions Requirement is hereby withdrawn.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 13 May 2005 was filed and is being considered by the examiner.

## Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word comprises" in the first line should be changed to --includes--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 10/534,803 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-59 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,719,406. This is a double patenting rejection.

### **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An H. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-2143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (Flexible).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,803 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD September 26, 2006 An H. Do Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

Sulula